

Integrated Planning - Engineering

NRCS Engineers





Application, Ranking, Offers, Restoration – One engineer's opinion of timeline

Engineering Schedule

- Application phase, fast and furious, ~ 2 months
- ACEP Team executes supplement (offers), ~ 6 months

Application, Ranking, Offers, Restoration – One engineer's opinion of timeline

Engineering Schedule

NRCS

- Application phase, fast and furious, ~ 2 months
- ACEP Team executes supplement (offers), ~ 6 months
 - Assuming landowner accepts offer...

ACEP Team works their magic and easement is closed ~ 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ yr

Application, Ranking, Offers, Restoration – One engineer's opinion of timeline

• Engineering Schedule

NRCS

- Application phase, fast and furious, ~ 2 months
- ACEP Team executes supplement (offers), ~ 6 months -

ACEP Team works their magic and easement is closed ~ $I \frac{1}{2}$ yr

WRPO required to be obligated, 3 yrs after offer +

Application, Ranking, Offers, Restoration – One engineer's opinion of timeline

• Engineering Schedule

NRCS

- Application phase, fast and furious, ~ 2 months
- ACEP Team executes supplement (offers), ~ 6 months -

ACEP Team works their magic and easement is closed ~ 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ yr ~

- WRPO required to be obligated, 3 yrs after offer -
- Restoration completion, 3 yrs after Easement Closed -

Application, Ranking, Offers, Restoration – One engineer's opinion of timeline

• Engineering Schedule

NRCS

- Application phase, fast and furious, ~ 2 months
- ACEP Team executes supplement (offers), ~ 6 months ←

ACEP Team works their magic and easement is closed ~ 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ yr ~

- WRPO required to be obligated, 3 yrs after offer -
- Restoration completion, 3 yrs after Easement Closed +

NRCS Wetland Restoration (CPS 657)

PURPOSE:

To restore wetland function, value, habitat, diversity, and capacity to a close approximation of the predisturbance conditions by <u>restoring</u>:

- Conditions conducive to **hydric soil** maintenance.
- Wetland hydrology (dominant water source, hydroperiod, and hydrodynamics).
- Native hydrophytic **vegetation** (including the removal of undesired species, and/or seeding or planting of desired species).
- Original fish and wildlife **habitats**.

- Soils investigation (Soil Scientist)
 - Sedimentation depths / Depth to Bt (Playas)





- Soils investigation (Soil Scientist)
 - Sedimentation depths / Depth to Bt (Playas)
 - Depth to sand (Wet Meadows & River Sloughs)
 - Depth to ground water (Wet Meadows & River Sloughs)
 - Wetland delineation (Borrow or spoil areas)

- Biological goals (NRCS Team Lead, Ducks Unlimited, FWS, NGPC, PF, ...)
 - Wetland Complex / Type / Historical Function
 - Restoring Vegetation
 - Tree & brush removal



- Biological goals (NRCS Team Lead, Ducks Unlimited, FWS, NGPC, PF, ...)
 - Wetland Complex / Type / Historical Function
 - Restoring Vegetation
 - Tree & brush removal
 - Inundation depths, hydroperiods, saturated vs inundated areas
 - Restoring Hydrology



- Engineering Evaluation
 - GIS
 - Aerials & LiDAR + Soils
 - Hydrologic data
 - Water budgets (spreadsheets, SPAW, EFH-2, WETS Tables)
 - Hydraulic analysis
 - USGS stream gauge data, HEC-RAS, Mannings Eqn, Groundwater

Planning Information

- Engineering Evaluation
 - Layer stack















- Evaluating Alternatives (Feasibility)
 - Stakeholder Objectives vs Program vs Cost \$ for full restoration

- Evaluating Alternatives (Feasibility)
 - Stakeholder Objectives vs Program vs Cost \$ for full restoration
 - Impacts to neighbors
 - Flooding (overland) or Lateral Effects (groundwater)

Flooding (overland)



- Evaluating Alternatives (Feasibility)
 - Stakeholder Objectives vs Program vs Cost \$ for full restoration
 - Impacts to neighbors
 - Flooding (overland) or Lateral Effects (groundwater)
 - 3rd Party Conversions

- Evaluating Alternatives (Feasibility)
 - Stakeholder Objectives vs Program vs Cost \$ for full restoration
 - Impacts to neighbors
 - Flooding (overland) or Lateral Effects (groundwater)
 - 3rd Party Conversions

- Evaluating Alternatives (Feasibility)
 - Stakeholder Objectives vs Program vs Cost \$ for full restoration
 - Impacts to neighbors
 - Flooding (overland) or Lateral Effects (groundwater)
 - 3rd Party Conversions

- Evaluating Alternatives (Feasibility)
 - Stakeholder Objectives vs Program vs Cost \$ for full restoration
 - Impacts to neighbors
 - Flooding (overland) or Lateral Effects (groundwater)
 - 3rd Party Conversions

- Evaluating Alternatives (Feasibility)
 - Stakeholder Objectives vs Program vs Cost \$ for full restoration
 - Impacts to neighbors
 - Flooding (overland) or Lateral Effects (groundwater)
 - 3rd Party Conversion
 - Permitting
 - Constructability

Preliminary Design

- Preliminary Design
 - Soils and depth to Bt
 - Topography
 - Restoration limits & quantities
 - Grazing infrastructure
 - Notes, issues, concerns, challenges



Y **Preliminary Design**

- Engineering Deliverables
 - Preliminary plans and cost estimate

LANDOWNER OBJECTIVES:

Restore native grasslands, native wildlife habitat and wetlands to the fullest extent possible

TEAM OBJECTIVES:

Restore native grasslands, native wildlife habitat and wetlands to the fullest extent possible

FIELD #	PRACTICE	QNT	UNIT \$	EST. COST
W1	(644/645) Wetland and Upland Wildlife Habitat	42	ac	
W1	(657) Wetland Restoration	29	ac	
W1	(657) Earthfill - Pit Fill (yd3)	38000	\$4.00	\$152,000
W1	(460) Land Clearing - Tree removal, burn/bury	7	\$3,400.00	\$23,800
W2	(642) Well (ft)	200	\$50.00	\$10,000
W2	(533) Pumping Plant (Each)	1	\$10,000	\$10,000
W2	(614) Tank (Ea)	1	\$5,000	\$5,000
W2	(382) Fence (Ft)	5000	\$2.00	\$10,000
W2	RWBJV Financing Grazing Infrastructure	1	\$35,000.00	(\$35,000)
W3	(315) Herbaceous Weed Control (acres)	13	\$50.00	\$650
W3	(550) Range Seeding - seed, site prep (acres)	13	\$400.00	\$5,200
	TOTAL ESTIMATED	RESTOR	ATION COST	\$181,650
NOTES:	181650 / 42 = 4325 \$/ac			
Please no	te that the Preliminary Restoration Plan has been	completed	in the absent	of necessary information
needed to	finalize restoration plans such as topographic sur	veys, biolo	gical surveys	and sediment investigations.
This can le	ead to changes in planned activities, estimated qu	antities and	d actual cost.	

Legal Description: PRELIMINARY RESTORATION MAP SE4 S2-T9N-R9W

Approximate Acres: 42 Customer(s): Nick and Tracy Happold District Central Platte NRD

Excavation

Field Office: GI AREA OFFICE Agency: USDA / NRCS Assisted By: NATE GARRETT State and County: NE, HALL



1 inch = 300 feet

Application, Ranking, Offers, Restoration – One engineer's opinion of timeline

• Engineering Schedule

NRCS

- Application phase, fast and furious, ~ 2 months
 - ACEP Team executes supplement (offers), ~ 6 months ~

ACEP Team works their magic and easement is closed ~ 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ yr ~

- WRPO required to be obligated, 3 yrs after offer -
- Restoration completion, 3 yrs after Easement Closed +

Application, Ranking, Offers, Restoration – One engineer's opinion of timeline

• Engineering Schedule

NRCS

Application phase, fast and furious, ~ 2 months ACEP Team executes supplement (offers), ~ 6 months -

CEP Team works their magic and easement is closed ~ 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ yr ~

- WRPO required to be obligated, 3 yrs after offer -
- Restoration completion, 3 yrs after Easement Closed +



Questions?

